CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR PARKING MODELS ON THE LINE AND PLANE

BY

HOWARD J. WEINER

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 41
DECEMBER 14, 1979

PREPARED UNDER GRANT

DAAG29-77-G-0031

FOR THE U.S. ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted for any purpose of the United States Government
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA



Central Limit Theorem for Parking Models on the Line and Plane

Ву

Howard J. Weiner

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 41
DECEMBER 14, 1979

Prepared under Grant DAAG29-77-G-0031 For the U.S. Army Research Office Herbert Solomon, Project Director

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

Partially supported under Office of Naval Research Contract NOOO14-76-C-0475 (NR-042-267) and issued as Technical Report No. 280.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Central Limit Theorem for Parking Models on the Line and Plane

by Howard J. Weiner

University of California at Davis and Stanford University*

1. <u>Introduction</u>. Three models for random parking (= packing) of line segments on a longer line and correspondingly, squares on a larger rectangle are given, where for each model, either the Renyi or the Solomon parking method is used.

The one-dimensional first moment results are given in Solomon (1966), (1970), Weiner (1978).

In the alternating car size model, a car of length a is placed uniformly at random along a curb (segment) of length x. It is considered praked there. A second car, of length b is placed uniformly at random along the curb and parked if and only if it does not overlap the a-car. This is the Renyi parking mechanism. In the Solomon parking mechanism, a newly-placed car which overlaps an already-parked car is moved the shorter distance so as to be immediately adjacent to the overlapped car on the curb, and is parked there if and only if it does not now overlap yet another parked car, or the boundary of the segment. If a b-car is not parked, it is discarded, and another b-car is placed I.I.D. at random as the other b-car. If it is parked, then an a-car is placed, and the process

continues until no further cars can be parked. If the b-car is not parked, again it is discarded and another b-car is placed, and again the process continues until no more cars may be parked. This process may be similarly defined for the plane for axa and bxb squares, respectively, with either of the Renyi and Solomon mechanisms.

The abacus model consists of a rectangle, (k+1)xx, of k horizontal lines, each one unit apart. The width of the rectangle (the length of each line) is x. Assume a, b are integers. A line is chosen uniformly at random from the integers {1,2,...,k}, and centered on this line, an axa (for definiteness) square is placed. Next, a bxb square is selected and placed uniformly on a line, and parked if there is no overlap. there is overlap with the axa parked car on a given line (Renyi model) or if a car to be placed is vertically adjacent to some portion of a car, without a line of space between cars, the bXb car is placed I.I.D. as before until it is parked. Then another axa car is placed I.I.D. and the process continues until no further cars may be parked. The Solomon model allows that if two cars overlap on the same line, the one to be placed may be moved adjacent horizontally (minimal motion) to the already-parked car and parked if there is now no overlap with another parked car, if the boundary is not overlapped, and if at least one line of vertical space exists between the car and already-parked cars on other lines.

The random car size model to be considered has DXD square cars to be parked, either on a rectangle or abacus with the Renyi mechanism, where D is chosen from a distribution F, with density f(x) > 0, all x > 0. If a DXD car fits the boundaries of the given rectangle and does not overlap another already-parked car, (and leaves enough vertical space in the abacus

grid case), it is parked, otherwise it is discarded and the process stops. If the car is parked, another D is chosen I.I.D. from F and a DXD car is placed I.I.D. as the other cars, and if it is not parked as described above, the process stops.

- 2. One-Dimensional Models. Define, for a, b $\ll x$,
- (2.1) X_a(x) (X_b(x)) = the random variable of total number of cars which may be parked on a curb of length x in the alternating a and b length car Solomon model, starting with an a-car (b-car)

$$K_a(x) \equiv E(X_a(x))$$

 $K_b(x) \equiv E(X_b(x)).$

By considerations as in (Solomon (1966)), it may be shown that

(2.2)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-1} \binom{K_a(x)}{K_b(x)} = \binom{\beta_1}{\beta_2} = \underline{\beta}.$$

Define

(2.3)
$$L_{a}(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sx} K_{a}(x) dx$$

$$L_{b}(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sx} K_{b}(x) dx$$

that for some constants β_1 , β_2 , δ_1 , δ_2 which can be determined, for s $\downarrow 0$

(2.4)
$$L_{a}(s) \sim \beta_{1} s^{-2} + \delta_{1} s^{-1}$$

$$L_{b}(s) \sim \beta_{2} s^{-2} + \delta_{2} s^{-1}.$$

Let

(2.5)
$$\ell_{a}(x) \equiv \beta_{1}x + \delta_{1}$$

$$\ell_{b}(x) \equiv \beta_{2}x + \delta_{2}.$$

It may be shown that $\underline{\ell}(x)$ satisfies the same integral equation as $\underline{K}(x) \equiv \binom{K_a(x)}{K_k(x)}.$ Hence

(2.6)
$$X_{a}(x+a) - \ell_{a}(x+a) = (X_{b}(x) - \ell_{b}(x)) \underbrace{ (-a,0) \cup (x,x+a)]}$$

$$+ [(X_{b}'(t) - \ell_{b}(t)) + (X_{b}''(x-t) - \ell_{b}(x-t))]$$

and a similar equation for $X_b(x+b) - L_b(x+b)$, where the a, b are interchanged, where t is chosen uniformly on [0,x], $X_a'(t)$, $X_a''(t)$ are I.I.D. as $X_a(t)$, conditional on t. Squaring both sides of (2.6) and taking expectations, with $M_a^{(2)}(x) = E(X_a(x)-L_a(x))^2$,

(2.7)
$$M_a^{(2)}(x+a) = \frac{2a}{x+2a} M_b^{(2)}(x) + \frac{2}{x+2a} \int_0^x M_b^{(2)}(t) dt + \frac{2}{x+2a} \int_0^x m_b(t) m_b(x-t) dt,$$

and

$$M_b^{(2)}(x+b) = \frac{2b}{x+2b} M_a^{(2)}(x) + \frac{2}{x+2b} \int_0^x M_a^{(2)}(t) dt + \frac{2}{x+2b} \int_0^x m_a(t) m_a(x-t) dt,$$

where

(2.8)
$$m_{\mathbf{a}}(t) \equiv E(X_{\mathbf{a}}(t) - \ell_{\mathbf{a}}(t)),$$

$$m_{\mathbf{b}}(t) \equiv E(X_{\mathbf{b}}(t) - \ell_{\mathbf{b}}(t)).$$

Define

(2.9)
$$L_{a}(s) \equiv \int_{a}^{\infty} e^{-sx} M_{a}^{(2)}(x) dx$$

$$L_{b}(s) \equiv \int_{b}^{\infty} e^{-sx} M_{b}^{(2)}(x) dx$$

$$r_{a}(s) \equiv \int_{a}^{\infty} e^{-sx} m_{a}(t) dx$$

$$r_{b}(s) \equiv \int_{b}^{\infty} e^{-sb} m_{b}(x) dx$$

$$\underline{L} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{a}(s) \\ L_{b}(s) \end{pmatrix}$$

Taking Laplace transforms in (2.7), one obtains

$$(2.10) \underline{L}' + A \underline{L} = -\underline{B}$$

where

A =
$$\begin{pmatrix} -a, & 2ae^{-sa} + \frac{2e^{-as}}{s} \\ & & \\$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-sa}r_b^2 + \frac{(2ae^{-as}-3ae^{-2as})}{s} + \frac{(e^{-as}-e^{-2as})}{s^2} \\ 2e^{-sb}r_a^2 + \frac{(2be^{-bs}-3be^{-2bs})}{s} + \frac{(e^{-bs}-e^{-2bs})}{s^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Define

(2.11) $K_{1a}(x)(K_{1b}(x)) = \text{mean total number of a-length (b-length)}$ cars which can be parked on [0,x).

Assume a < b.

Clearly

(2.12)
$$K_{1b}(x) \leq K_a(x), K_b(x) \leq K_{1a}(x),$$

and a similar inequality holds for the respective non-normalized second moments.

Hence we seek a solution, either by power series, or Volterra-like integral equation representation to (2.10) such that

(2.13)
$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} s^{2}(L_{i}(s) = \alpha_{i} > 0, \quad i=1,2.$$

Hence $M_a^{(2)}(x), M_b^{(2)}(x)$ are respectively expressible as a sum of three terms, each of which is increasing in absolute value. By Abelian, Tauberian theorems (Widder (1946), p. 182 and p. 192),

(2.14)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-1} {\binom{M_a^{(2)}(x)}{a}} = \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-1} {\binom{\operatorname{Var} X_a(x)}{\operatorname{Var} X_b(x)}} = {\binom{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}}$$

For the abacus, define

(2.15) $Y_a(k) = total number of alternately-placed a and b segments on a k-line one-dimensional abacus starting with an a segment.$

Y_b(k) is the similar quantity starting with a b segment.

One may conclude by similar considerations as in (Solomon (1966)) that

for large k, where $\ell_a(k)$, $\ell_b(k)$ are linear functions of k.

Denoting

(2.17)
$$M_{a}^{(2)}(k) = E(Y_{a}(k) - l_{a}(k))^{2}$$

$$M_{b}^{(2)}(k) = E(Y_{b}(k) - l_{b}(k))^{2}$$

$$m_{a}(k) = E(Y_{a}(k) - l_{a}(k))$$

$$m_{b}(k) = E(Y_{b}(k) - l_{b}(k))$$

it follows that with k an integer, $k \gg a$ or b.

(2.18)
$$M_{a}^{(2)}(k+a) = \frac{2}{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} m_{b}(\ell) m_{b}(k-\ell) + \frac{2}{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} M_{b}^{(2)}(\ell)$$
$$M_{b}^{(2)}(k+b) = \frac{2}{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} m_{a}(\ell) m_{a}(k-\ell) + \frac{2}{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} M_{a}^{(2)}(\ell).$$

Similar considerations to those above yield

(2.19)
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} k^{-1} {\operatorname{Var} Y_a(k) \choose \operatorname{Var} Y_b(k)} = \underline{\eta} > 0.$$

For the random car size model in one dimension, define

(2.20) Z(x) = total number of cars of length D, where D has distribution F, density f, which may be parked on a curb of length x.

Define

(2.21)
$$M(x) = E Z(x)$$

$$L(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sx} M(x) dx$$

$$\varphi(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sx} f(x) dx.$$

It may be shown with the prior model that for $s\downarrow 0$, a ν , λ may be computed which satisfy, for $s\downarrow 0$,

(2.22)
$$L(s) \sim vs^{-2} + \lambda s^{-1}.$$

Define, for x > 0

(2.23)
$$\ell(x) = vx + \lambda$$

$$m(x) = M(x) - \ell(x)$$

$$M^{(2)}(x) = E(Z(x) - \ell(x))^{2}$$

By the methods of earlier paragraphs, it follows that, $\label{eq:by-the} \text{for } a>0 \,,$

(2.24)
$$M^{(2)}(a) = \frac{2}{a} \int_{0}^{a} f(x) dx \int_{0}^{a-x} M^{(2)}(t) dt + \int_{0}^{a} f(x) dx \int_{0}^{a-x} m(t) m(a-x-t) dt \right].$$

If $E(D^2) < \infty$, it follows that

(2.25)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-1} M^{(2)}(x) = \beta > 0.$$

3. Higher Moments.

Let

(3.1) X(x) = total number of unit length segments (cars) which may be parked on [0,x) in accord with a Renyi model, where each unit segment is placed uniformly at random and parked only if it does not overlap a previously parked car. See (Solomon (1966)).

It may be shown (Solomon (1966)) that for $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$(3.2) EX(x) \equiv m(x) \sim L(x) \equiv cx + c - 1$$

where $c \sim .748$.

Define, for $n \ge 1$

(3.3)
$$M^{(n)}(x) = E(X(x) - l(x))^n,$$

and
$$M^{(1)}(x) \equiv m(x)$$

It is known that (Solomon (1966))

(3.4)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-1} M^{(2)}(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-1} Var X(x) = \alpha > 0.$$

Define

(3.5)
$$X'(x), X''(x)$$
 to be I.I.D. as $X(x)$.

Theorem 1.

(3.6)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-n} M^{(2n)}(x) = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} \alpha^{2n}$$
and
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-(2n+1)/2} M^{(2n+1)}(x) = 0$$

<u>Proof.</u> Conditional on the placement of the first unit car on (t,t+1), x>t+1>t>0, considerations of (Weiner (1978)) yield that for asymptotic results,

(3.7)
$$E(X(x+1) - \ell(x+1))^n \approx E([X'(t) - \ell(t)] + [X''(x-t) - \ell(x-t)])^n$$
,

where t is chosen uniformly on [0,x]. Expanding the right side by the binomial expansion and taking expectations for n=3 yields

(3.8)
$$M^{(3)}(x+1) = 6x^{-1} \int_0^t M^{(2)}(t)m(x-t) + \frac{2}{x} \int_0^x M^{(3)}(t) dt$$

and taking Laplace transforms, with

(3.9)
$$L^{(n)}(s) = e^{s} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sx} M^{(n)}(x) dx,$$

$$R^{(n)}(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sx} M^{(n)}(x) dx,$$

it follows that

(3.10)
$$(L^{(3)}(s))' + \frac{2e^{-s}}{s} L^{(3)}(s) = -6R^{(2)}(s)R^{(1)}(s)$$

with solution

(3.11)
$$L^{(3)}(s) = \left[\exp\left(2\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du\right)\right]\int_{s}^{\infty} \left[\exp\left(-2\int_{v}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du\right)\right] 6R^{(2)}(v)R^{(1)}(v)\right] dv$$

Since for 0 < x < 1,

(3.12)
$$\exp\left(2\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du\right) = -2\gamma - 2 \ln s + g(s),$$

where $\gamma = \text{Euler's constant}$ and $g(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0$,

(3.13)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} s^{2} R^{(2)}(s) = \alpha^{2}$$

$$\lim_{s \to 0} s^{2} R^{(1)}(s) = 0,$$

it follows that

(3.14)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} s^2 L^{(3)}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \left[\exp\left(-2\int_v^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du - 2\gamma\right) \right] 6R^{(2)}(v)R^{(1)}(v) dv = constant.$$

For n = 4,

(3.15)
$$M^{(4)}(x+1) = {4 \choose 2}x^{-1} \int_0^x M^{(2)}(t)M^{(2)}(x-t) dt + q(x)$$
$$+ \frac{2}{x} \int_0^x M^{(4)}(t) dt$$

where

(3.16)
$$q(x)$$
 is of lower order of x than $x^{-1} \int_0^x M^{(2)}(t)M^{(2)}(x-t) dt$ by the results for $n=1,2,3$.

Again taking Laplace transforms, the resulting equation for $L^{(4)}(s)$ has solution

(3.17)
$$L^{(4)}(s) = \left[\exp\left(2\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du\right)\right] \int_{s}^{\infty} \left[\exp\left(-2\int_{v}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du\right)\right] 6(R^{(2)}(v))^{2} + t(v)\right] dv$$

where t(v) is the Laplace transform of q(x).

Since

(3.18)
$$\lim_{v \to 0} v^{2} R^{(2)}(v) = \alpha^{2}$$

$$\lim_{v \to 0} v^{4} t(v) = 0,$$

$$v + 0$$

if one denotes

(3.19)
$$f(s) = \int_{s}^{\infty} \left[\exp \left(-2 \int_{v}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du \right) \right] \left[6 \left(R^{(2)}(v) \right)^{2} + t(v) \right] dv,$$

it follows by (3.18) and L'Hospital's rule that

(3.20)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} sf(s) = \frac{f'(s)}{(\frac{1}{s})'} \Big|_{s=0} = 6\alpha^4 e^{2\gamma}.$$

Ey (3.18), (3.20)

(3.21)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} s^3 L^{(4)}(s) = 6\alpha^4.$$

Since $M^{(4)}(x)$ is expressible as a sum of terms each increasing in absolute value, a Tauberian theorem (Widder (1946)) yields

(3.22)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-2} M^{(4)}(x) = 3\alpha^{4}.$$

Assume the result of the theorem for r=1,2,...,2n moments by induction. By the binomial expansion,

(3.23)
$$M^{(2n+1)}(x+1) = \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n} {2n+1 \choose \ell} M^{(\ell)}(t) M^{(2n+1-\ell)}(x-t) dt$$

$$+\frac{2}{x}\int_{0}^{x}M^{(2n+1)}(t) dt$$
.

In the first integral on the right side, if $M^{(\ell)}(t)$ is an even moment, and the induction hypothesis yields that

(3.24)
$$M^{(\ell)}(t)M^{(2n+1-\ell)}(x-t) \le O(t^{\ell/2}(x-t)^{2n-\ell/2}) \le O(x^n),$$

and when Laplace transforms are taken in (3.19), then a simple computation by L'Hospital's rule, and an Abelian and Tauberian theorem using (3.20) yields that

(3.25)
$$M^{(2n+1)}(x) \le O(x^n).$$

This suffices for the odd moments.

For the (2n+2)th moment, the binomial expansion yields

(3.26)
$$M^{(2n+2)}(x+1) = \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{n}{\ell+1} (2n+2) M^{(2\ell)}(t) M^{(2n+2-2\ell)}(x-t) dt$$

$$+\frac{2}{x}\int_{0}^{x}M^{(2n+2)}(t) dt + q(x),$$

where q(x) is the sum of terms which are multiples of convolutions of odd moments, and are of lower order than the first term on the right side of (3.22) by induction

Multiplying by x and taking Laplace transforms one obtains an equation with solution

$$(3.27) \quad L^{(2n+2)}(s) = \left[\exp\left(2\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du\right)\right] \int_{s}^{\infty} \left[\exp\left(-2\int_{v}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du\right)\right]$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} {2n+2 \choose 2\ell} R^{(2\ell)}(v) R^{(2n+2-2\ell)}(v) + t(v)\right] dv$$

where t(v) is the Laplace transform of q(x).

Let

(3.28)
$$f(s) = \int_{s}^{\infty} \left[\exp\left(-2\int_{v}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du\right) \right] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} {2n+2 \choose 2\ell} R^{(2\ell)}(v) R^{(2n+2-2\ell)}(v) + t(v) dv.$$

Then by (3.12), L'Hospital's rule, and the induction hypothesis,

(3.29)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} s^{n} f(x) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{f'(s)}{(\frac{1}{s})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} {2n+2 \choose 2\ell} \frac{(2\ell)! \alpha^{2\ell}}{2^{\ell}} \cdot \frac{(2n+2-2\ell)! \alpha^{2n+2-2\ell}}{2^{n+1-\ell}} e^{2\gamma}.$$

Hence, by (3.12), (3.29),

(3.30)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} s^{n+2} L^{(2n+2)}(s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} {2n+2 \choose 2\ell} \frac{(2\ell)! \alpha^{2\ell}}{2^{\ell}} \cdot \frac{(2n+2-2\ell)! \alpha^{2n+2-2\ell}}{2^{n+1-\ell}}.$$

By a Tauberian theorem,

(3.31)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-(n+1)} M^{(2n+2)}(x) = \frac{1}{(n+1)! n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} {2n+2 \choose 2\ell} \frac{(2\ell)! \alpha^{2\ell} (2n+2-2\ell)! \alpha^{2n+2-2\ell}}{2^{\ell} \cdot 2^{n+1-\ell}}$$
$$= \frac{(2n+2)! \alpha^{2n+2}}{2^{n+1} (n+1)!}$$

and the theorem is proved.

It is clear by the nature of the computations that the moment result holds for the three models with either the Renyi or Solomon parking mechanism.

Theorem 2. For any one-dimensional model considered, for either the Renyi or Solomon parking mechanisms, where c, α are specific to each case,

$$(3.32) \lim_{x \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(-u \left\{ \frac{X(x) - \ell(x)}{\sqrt{\text{Var } X(x)}} \right\} \right) \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(-u \left\{ \frac{X(x) - cx}{\alpha \sqrt{x}} \right\} \right) \right] = e^{-u^2/2}.$$

<u>Proof.</u> The limiting moments of Theorem 1 are those of a $N(0,\alpha^2)$ random variable, which uniquely determine the normal law.

4. Two Dimensions.

Let

(4.1) $R(x,y) = \text{total number of unit squares which may be parked on an } x \times y$ rectangle in the Renyi model in two dimensions.

Lemma. For $x,y\to\infty$, all $n\geq 1$

(4.2) (i)
$$E(X(x)X(y) - l(x)l(y))^{2n} \sim \left(\frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n}\right) (c\alpha)^{2n} (xy)^n (x+y)^n$$

(4.2)(ii)
$$E(X(x)Y(y) - \ell(x)\ell(y))^{2n+1} \sim o((xy)(x+y))^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$

with Y(y) I.I.D. as X(y).

<u>Proof.</u> For x,y large, all n,

(4.3)
$$E[Y(y)(X(x) - \ell(x)) + \ell(x)(Y(y) - \ell(y))]^{n}.$$

The independence of X(x),Y(y), the asymptotic expressions

$$(4.4)(i)$$
 $\ell(x) \sim cx$

(4.4)(ii)
$$E(Y(y))^n = E[(Y(y) - \ell(y)) + \ell(y)]^n \sim (\ell(y))^n \sim c^n y^n$$
.

a binomial expansion of (4.3), Theorem 1, an explicit asymptotic computation of (4.2)(i) for n=1,2, (4.2)(ii) for n=1, and an induction establish the lemma.

(4.5)
$$\lim_{x,y\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[-u\left(\frac{R(x,y)-\ell(x)\ell(y)}{\sqrt{E(R(x,y)-\ell(x)\ell(y))^2}}\right)\right]\right\}$$

$$= \lim_{x,y\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[-u\left(\frac{R(x,y)-c^2xy}{\sqrt{c^2\alpha^2xy(x+y)}}\right)\right]\right\} = e^{-u^2/2}.$$

<u>Proof.</u> For $x,y\to\infty$, $n \ge 1$,

(4.6)
$$E(R(x,y) - \ell(x)\ell(y))^n \cong E[(Y(y)(X(x) - \ell(x)) + (\ell(x)(Y(y) - \ell(y)))]^n.$$

The lemma immediately yields that for $x,y\to\infty$, and (4.6), that

(4.7)(i)
$$\frac{E(R(x,y) - \ell(x)\ell(y))^{2n}}{(c_{\alpha})^{2n}[xy(x+y)]^{n}} \rightarrow \frac{(2n)!}{2^{n}n!} \quad \text{all } n \geq 1$$

(4.7)(ii)
$$\frac{E(R(x,y) - \ell(x)\ell(y))^{2n+1}}{\left[xy(x+y)\right]^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \to 0, \quad \text{all } n \ge 0.$$

The right sides of (4.7)(i), (4.7)(ii) are the $2n^{th}$ and $(2n+1)^{st}$ moments of a N(0,1) random variable, which determine the distribution, proving the theorem.

Similar results hold for the Solomon model and other models considered earlier. A bivariate central limit theorem holds for alternating squares or rectangles models.

REFERENCES

SOLOMON, H. (1966): Random packing density. <u>Proc. Fifth Berkeley</u>

<u>Symposium on Math. Stat. and Prob. 4</u>, U. California Press, Berkeley.

______(1970): On random sequential packing in a plane and a conjecture of Palasti, <u>Jour. Appl. Prob.</u> 7, 667-698.

WEINER, H. (1978): Sequential random packing in the plane, <u>Jour.</u>
<u>Appl. Prob.</u> 15, 803-814.

WIDDER, D.V. (1946): <u>The Laplace Transform</u>, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. G	OVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
41			1
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERS	ED.
CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR PARKING MODELS ON THE LINE AND PLANE		TECHNICAL REPORT	
		6. PERFORMING ORG, REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(a)		B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)	-
		DAAG29-77-G-0031	ı
HOWARD J. WEINER		n 1	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	·	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS	ĸ
Department of Statistics	22	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASS AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS P-14435-M	
Stanford University	1		1
Stanford, CA 94305			- 1
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE	
U. S. Army Research Office		DECEMBER 14, 1979	
Post Office Box 12211		13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 14. MONITORING ASENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)		19	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from	m Controlling Office)	IS. SECURITY CLASS. (of this toport)	
		UNCLASSIFIED	
		15. OECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING	5
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	<u></u>		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST	KIBUTION UNLIM	TIED.	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in B.	lock 20, It different from	n Report)	
		·	
The findings in this report are not of the Army position, unless so des This report partially supported und NOOO14-76-C-0475 (NR-042-267) and i	signated by oth ler Office of 1 ssued as Tech	her authorized documents. Naval Research Contract nical Report No. 280.	ent
19. KEY WORDS Continue on reverse side if necessary and id	entify by block number)		
Parking models, sequential squares, packing models, central limit theorem.			
			8
	·		
20. APSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and ide	entify by block number)	* .	
9			9
PLEASE SEE REVERSE SIDE		. *	8
₂₇ 80°:			
V Company of the Comp			

CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR PARKING MODELS ON THE LINE AND PLANE

Asymptotic second moments for various sequential square packing models in the plane including alternating size squares, random size squares, and squares packed on an abacus grid are given or indicated for the Renyi and Solomon models. Preliminary corresponding results are given for the line. A central limit theorem follows for each model on the line and plane by computation of higher moments.

#280/#41